

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 2:07

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

March 10, 2006

Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54-000

Dear Ms. Salas,

I protest the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas Facility to be built in the Long Island Sound. A Liquefied Natural Gas Facility endangers the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and it should not be built. This facility, if built would limit public access to the sound and I strongly suggest that it should not be built.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

*Kimberly Fuchs*

Kimberly Fuchs  
3 Wexford Court  
St. James, NY 11780

[REDACTED]

Ms. Magalie R. Sales

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. NE Rm. 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

RECEIVED  
MAR 29 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Sales;

I am writing you to say a  
LNG facility should not be built in  
the Long Island Sound.

As a resident of the area I am  
very concerned about the effect such  
a facility would have on our marine  
life, our air quality and our health  
and safety.

Very truly yours

Catherine DeGuz

CATHERINE DEGUZ

710 PROSPECT AV

MAMORNECK, NY 10

[REDACTED]

Atn: Magalie Salas, FERC  
George Pataki, State Capitol  
Captain Peter Boynton, USCG

FILED  
DEPT. OF ENERGY  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

2006 MAR 28 PM 2:07

2006 MAR 28 PM 2:07

Dear Sirs and Madam:

It has come to my attention that a prototype LNG Facility has been proposed for the waters of Long Island Sound. This strikes me as a ludicrous idea, considering our lack of market demand given the recent approval of facilities in Boston and Canada. Long Island Sound is a publicly supported water body, vital to the continued existence of over half of our region's endangered species. Why on earth would our public officials approve a facility that serves only to line the pockets of a foreign fossil fuel provider, when our state has approved a renewable energy portfolio, and numerous tax expenditures to preserve the health of Long Island Sound? This makes absolutely no sense to me. Please write me back, addressing my concerns.

Most Sincerely,  
Guy Mason

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Guy Mason", with a horizontal line underneath.

605 Shore Acres Drive  
Mamaroneck, NY  
10543

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

ED 03 13 P 2 07

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Secretary Salas,

My name is John Gowan. I am writing to you to express my opposition to the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas facility which is being considered for development in Long Island Sound.

My father was a graduate of Kings Point and served in the Merchant Marine during World War II. He then went on to work in the shipping industry after the war. He often spoke of the dangers of LNG technology. In this day and age of terrorist attacks I feel that this type of solution to our energy needs is dangerous and short-sighted. While I acknowledge the need for the development of new energy sources, this type of concentrated mega-project is not the answer. The development of many small-scale decentralized sources of energy are far safer and practical in the long term. The plan for the LNG facility in Long Island Sound is not only environmentally unsound (no pun intended), but it is not a viable solution to our long-term energy needs.

Please feel free to let me know your thoughts on this matter. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,



John Gowan  
148 Osborn Road  
Rye, NY 10580

March 17 2006

Jason Garcia  
10 Florence ave  
Rye NY 10580

FILED  
MAR 17 2006  
MAR 18 P 2:06  
MAR 18 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Ms. Salas,

I am writing to prevent Broadwater venture from building a proposed liquefied Natural gas facility nine miles off Rocky Point in the middle of the Long Island Sound. As a local resident and an avid recreational boater and fisherman of the Long Island Sound for the past 23 years, I feel this project would be detrimental to the waterway. It is a potential risk to the health and safety of the Sound and a threat to its ecosystem. It will limit public access to boaters and sets a dangerous precedence of industrializing our waterway.

Please send an official response to my address above regarding your position on the matter.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

  
Jason Garcia

**Ronald M. Barish**  
**127-B Fenimore Road**  
**Mamaroneck, New York 10543**

**Tel: 914-381-4920**

**e-mail: [norcraft@optonline.net](mailto:norcraft@optonline.net)**

**Fax: 914-381-5409**

**March 14, 2006**

**Ms. Magalie R. Salas**  
**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**  
**888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A**  
**Washington, DC 20426**


**Dear Ms. Salas:**

Please be advised that I am opposed to building a liquefied natural gas facility in the middle of Long Island Sound. For that matter I am opposed to any environmentally dangerous commercialization to the Sound, which could have serious consequences for people as well as marine life.

As you well know our community is blessed to be located at the North shores of the Sound. The proposed facility can only mean that our accessibility for recreational purposes will suffer greatly.

I would appreciate a written response from you stating your position on this matter.

Very truly yours,



**Ronald M. Barish**  
**127-B Fenimore Road**  
**Mamaroneck, NY 10543**

Page  
of 10

20060329 P 2:06

March 15, 2006

Margaret R. Salas

I believe the 216 facility  
endangers the health and  
safety of Long Island Sound  
and should not be built.  
I strongly emphasize that  
we should not limit public  
access further industrializing  
the sound.

Our family has been  
enjoying fishing, boating  
and swimming here.  
The sound and Hudson  
River are finally returning  
to normal.  
Please do not reverse  
the progress we have made  
Please respond in writing

Sincerely  
Francesca Salas  
144 Cortana Ave  
Harrison NY  
10528

FILED  
MAR 29 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

MAR 29 10 28 05

Magalie R. Salas  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

March 15, 2006

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am appalled to learn that a Liquefied Natural Gas Facility is proposed in the Long Island Sound. This facility **MUST NOT BE BUILT**. As a 50 year resident of Mamaroneck, growing up along the Long Island Sound, we have seen tremendous strides through citizen effort and funding to work towards returning our waters and it's surrounding environment from its polluted state back to the beautiful, precious habitat that it once was and should continue to be.

Industrializing the sound is wrong. There is no doubt this LNG facility will threaten marine life, air quality and effect residents of New York and Connecticut who enjoy the Sound for recreational and commercial purposes.

I urge you to take all steps necessary to ensure that this facility is **NOT BUILT** and am requesting that you send me a response in writing informing me of your position. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter. Your prompt reply would be appreciated.

Sincerely,



Deborah Serra  
417 Grant Terrace  
Mamaroneck, New York 10543



March 14 2006

To Whom It May Concern:

I am definitely against the LNG facility endangering the health and safety of Long Island Sound and it should not be built. It will limit public access and present a very dangerous precedent for industrializing the sound. It would endanger the air quality and increase the emission of carbon dioxide.

Please respond to this letter informing me of your position on this matter.

Thank you,



Elida L. Peer  
428 N. Barry Ave  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543

2006 MAR 29 PM 3:05  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

March 15, 2006

2006 MAR 16 PM 3:05

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, NE  
Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas,

We enjoy living in walking distance of Long Island Sound. We gaze at it, breath in the salty air and sometimes dip in a toe.

We wish we could swim in clean salt water here in our backyard like we did as children in the 1960s.

If the LNG facility endangers the health and safety of Long Island Sound, then it should not be built.

Keeping in mind that frequent beach closings due to sewage leakage also endangers our health.

What can you do so that we can once again swim in the Long Island Sound?

Thank you for your concern and efforts.

Sincerely,

Kelly & John Susa  
68 Crotona Avenue  
Harrison, NY 10528  
914-835-7484



March 14, 2006

Dear Magalie Salas,

New York needs renewable energy. Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) is a fossil fuel - NOT a renewable form of energy. Investing about \$700 million to construct an LNG facility runs counter to New York's efforts to reduce our reliance on environmentally destructive fossil fuels. Natural gas, like all fossil fuels, experiences price fluctuations, which are based on the market. Natural gas does not provide cheap electricity and is a direct competitor of renewable technologies. This facility is not a good idea and will adversely affect the biological life of the Long Island Sound and the people of the tri-state area.

Sincerely,

*Katherine Fetzner*

Katherine Fetzner



Katherine Fetzner  
344 Florence St  
Mamaroneck NY 10543-2905

W - Magalie R. Salas

I can only say no to the idea . A Natural Gas Facility built on the sound can only be a bad thing for this area. It will harm the wild life for years to come and reduce the recreational space that is taken from us day by day. At this rate we will soon have no place to go and relax. Just think, no areas for us to swim , no place for us to fish, no place for us to hunt or just enjoy so me down time.

No thanks it's not for me and my family, stay away . Build this facility out in the deep blue of open water far away from the general population.

Thank You , Gerald and Diane Sciale

Gerald M. Sciale  
215 Short Street  
MAMARONECK NY  
10543

2006-03-28  
03:47

March 14, 2006

Magalie R. Salas

I have recently learned of the proposed natural gas facility in the long island sound. I am concerned that the industrialization of the sound will put more pressure on the already struggling ecosystem. My family and I sail and fish in the summer months and the sound is an important part of our community here in Mamaroneck NY. I believe if the environmental and safety issues of this project are carefully evaluated they will far out weigh any potential financial gain. It should not be built.

Sincerely,  
Krishna Finkenberg  
504 Florence st  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543

FILED  
MAR 15 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585

I wish to start off by saying that for years me and my husband have enjoyed the sound in the summer. We take long boat rides as often as possible and hope one day to pass this tranquil and beautiful time to our children. It seems as if the LNG FACILITY ENDANGERS THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF LONG ISLAND SOUND AND SHOULD NOT BE BUILT. I would hate to live in an era where I am only able to tell my children stories of how wonderful the waters use to be. Please respond in writing to my concerns and your efforts to stop this travisty from happening.

Thank you for your time



Christina Marisi  
87 Bardford Street  
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED  
03/28/06  
MAR 28 2006  
FBI NEW YORK

Dear Ms. Salas

I'm writing regarding the proposed LNG facility proposed for Long Island Sound. I'm deeply concerned about the environmental impact and safety of this plant. Long Island sound is vitally important to all that live near it. We have spent a lot of our tax dollars to protect it and to clean it up. It would be a shame if it were to be destroyed by this facility. My friend can longer fish for lobster in the sound because he blames the use of larvicide's used to control west Nile virus destroyed his livelihood. You cannot safely eat Striped bass from the Hudson because the PCB levels are so high in them due to GE. We cannot tolerate this any longer please do not allow this to happen.

Thanks  
Anthony DeMarco

03/28/2006 10:03  
FILED  
OSEC

3/13/06

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Com.  
888 First St. NE. Rm 1A  
Washington, D.C. 20426

2006 MAR 13 12 28 02

Dear Sirs:

I am a senior citizen who believes strongly in protecting the environment. I only wish I could do more to persuade our legislators to vote responsibly against the proposed LNG facility in Long Island Sound. We should protect and clean up the sound rather than polluting this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Jean Kardaras  
633 Shore Acres Drive  
Mamaroneck, N.Y. 1054



FILED  
DEPT. OF THE  
ENERGY  
MAR 28 P 2:12

Myra R. Salas

EPSC facility endangers the  
health & safety of Long Island  
& should not be built.  
The sound has been  
source of a steadily  
for boiling seawater & health

FILED  
DEPT. OF THE  
ENERGY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:54

Myra R. Salas  
200 Fifth St  
Manhasset, N.Y. 10543



Margaret R. Salier  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Please give careful  
thought to the health &  
safety of L.I. Sound  
and prevent the  
building of a Liquefied  
Natural Gas Facility.

Yours faithfully  
Barbara Creed

Barbara Creed

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 9 P 2:12

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:51



Ms. Barbara Creed  
313 N Barry Ave.  
Manhasset Neck, NY 10543



Sophie Guattari-Freund  
25 Hyatt Avenue  
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 29 P 2:01

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

March 17th, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426


Dear Mrs Salas,

I have been made aware of the LNG facility project and I am totally opposed to it. The Long Island Sound should be respected and kept as is. Enough with industrialization that help destroy the environment. This facility should not be built. It will endanger animal and vegetal species as well as restrict public access. Not to mention that it will open doors to more project of he kind.

It is time you took a stronger stand on environmental issues and I would really like to see some healthier projects on alternate energy. We have the brains in this country and the money what we need is strong politicians to make it happen. Can we count on you?

Can you please tell me what is your position on this subject and what you plan to do in actions?

Respectfully,



Sophie Guattari-Freund

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 P 2:10  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

March 16, 2006

Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington , DC 20426

Re: Docket # PF05-17      Rogers Spring Hill Farm

I am writing on behalf of the Rogers Spring Hill Farm. As a resident of Haverhill, a devoted customer of Rogers Spring Hill Farm, and a strong supporter of farming in Massachusetts and America, I am upset by the idea of a gas pumping ruining their beautiful land and causing the failure of yet another farm.

For over 100 years, the Rogers family has worked extremely hard and struggled financially. Rogers Spring Hill Farm has had a long history of sacrifice at the hands of the government and enough is enough. Please do what you can to stop Maritime and Northeast pipeline. The gas pumping station must not be placed on Rogers Spring Hill Farm.

Respectfully,



Wendy Scholes  
10 Ordway Avenue  
Haverhill, Massachusetts

March 17, 2006

Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 P 2:11  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

**DOCKET # PF05-17**

I am extremely upset at the thought of a natural gas pumping station being put on 9 acres of prime farmland.

I am in support of Rogers Spring Hill Farm's fight to keep Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline from building this on their property.

We are in dire need of keeping whatever farmland we have left, and this is a travesty!

How dare they!!

Duane S. Rice  
350 Lincoln Road  
Walpole, MA 02081

*Duane S. Rice*

March 17, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 P 2:12  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20426


**DOCKET # PF05-17**

I am extremely upset at the prospect of a natural gas pumping station being put on 9 acres of prime farmland.

I am in support of Rogers Spring Hill Farm's fight to keep Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline from building this on their property.

We are in dire need of keeping whatever farmland we have left, and this is a travesty!

Andrew T. Rice  
18 Lake Street  
Norfolk, MA 02056



211 Sutton Hill  
North Andover, MA 01845  
March 10, 2006

PF05-17-000

Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington , DC 20426

Docket # PF05-17


Dear Ms. Salas:

This letter is in reference to Rogers Spring Hill Farm in Bradford section of Haverhill, Massachusetts. Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline want to put a natural gas pipeline pumping station on this farm. This would force the farm out of business.

Please do all that you can to prevent this natural resource from being taken from us! Rogers Spring Hill Farm is one of the last remaining family farms in our area.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

  
Taqua Turner

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 P 2:36  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

March 13, 2006

Secretary of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street NE  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 PM 2:36

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Re: Docket # PF05-17

Dear Sir/Madam

Never in our lives have we sent a letter to any government agency or person. But in this case, we feel compelled to do so. I guess we have to say "enough is enough", and here's why.

A beautiful 100 year old New England Farm is about to become severely impacted, possibly including the termination of it's existence, due to a decision by Maritimes & Northeast to take over some of it's land for the proposed construction of a natural gas compression station. Yet another farm is about to go. What a loss to the community!


It isn't just the beauty of it, nor is it the fact that children and parents in our area enjoy its charm, its farming lessons learned, and its wholesome place in the fabric of our community. It's so much more than that. It's a way of life which is disappearing before these 62 year old eyes. Gone forever are so many of these family farms, lost permanently to future generations.


Have you taken your kids, nieces and nephews apple picking? Have you taken them on hay rides in the fall? Have you picked pumpkins with them? Do you remember when you were little? Did you have that pleasure, that joy on a fall day? Cool breezes, leaves falling, and the smell of fresh hay? If you did, please think back and relive that experience, that treasure of your youth. Imagine if someone took that away from you when you were little. That's what is happening to the Rogers Spring Hill Farm. It's about life away from video games, instant gratification.

Please deny the gas company's taking of that important land. For the company, it's simply land. For us citizens, it's more than land, it's a way of life. Our young need it, Northeastern Massachusetts needs it, America needs it.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully yours,


  
Paul T. Luther

  
Maryann Luther

32 Elmcrest Road  
North Andover, MA 01845

BW003742





Lawrence Public Schools • 255 Essex Street • Post Office Box 1498 • Lawrence, MA 01842

Wilfredo T. Laboy  
Superintendent

March 9, 2006

Secretary Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E. Room 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Subject: Docket No. PF05-17-000

Dear Secretary Salas,

As Superintendent of Schools for the City of Lawrence I wish to express my undying support for the work and collaborative efforts we share with the Rogers family in educating our children on the value of farming in this great country.

Lawrence proudly boasts a 90% Hispanic student population; this is the largest concentration of Hispanic students northeast of El Paso, TX. The majority of our children come from the Dominican Republic and Puerto Rico. Of these families many are farmers and countrymen who have left their native lands seeking a better future for their children. Our local farms are the only place where we as a school district can interactively engage our children in lessons that emphasize the beauty of their native cultures and the role our local farmers play in today's global economy. Upsetting the operations of the Roger's Farm upsets education for so many children in our district.

This is why I implore you to reconsider placing a gas pumping station in the Rogers Spring Hill Farm.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or comments you may have.

Respectfully,

Wilfredo T. Laboy  
Superintendent of Schools

WTL/mar

(Phone) 978-975-5900 • (Fax) 978-975-5904 • (E-mail) wlaboy@lawrence.k12.ma.us

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 2:36


FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKET # PF05-17

Dear FERC,

I am sending this postcard to let you know that I am adamantly opposed to Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline placing a Natural Gas Pumping Station on Rogers Spring Hill Farm in Haverhill, MA. The 104-year-old farm has been a staple in our community. Farms are an important part of our country's heritage and should be protected. Open land should be preserved and not be taken away from those who dedicate their lives to it. Our community, our children and our future need Rogers Spring Hill Farm.

Sincerely,

  
Signature

Print name: Rebecca Johnson  
Print address: 19 Wenham Rd  
Newton, MA 03858

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 10 2:33  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

*March 9, 2006.*

Secretary of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. NE  
Washington, DC 20436  
Attn: Docket No. PF05-17

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 2:32

Subject : Rogers Spring Hill Farm

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Writing in Support of Rogers Spring Hill Farm's land –  
Soon there will be no farms in existence in this area –  
Please do not continue with the project –  
I SUPPORT ROGERS SPRING HILL FARM!!!!

Sincerely,

*Sandra A. Angers*

Sandra A Angers  
59 East Main Street  
Merrimac, Massachusetts 01860

Ellen L. Longworth  
649 Main Street  
Haverhill, Massachusetts 01830-2647

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 3:53

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

14 March 2006

ATT: Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20426

Dear Members of the FERC:

This letter concerns DOCKET # PF05-17, involving Rogers Spring Hill Farm in Haverhill, Massachusetts, and Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline that has chosen prime farmland in the very heart of the Rogers' family farm as the site of a natural gas pumping station.

Besides the fact the 104-year-old farm is of historic and educational value to our community, farmland—as you surely must know if not also appreciate—is irreplaceable. Gas pumping stations are not. Those concerned with monetary gain who continue to whittle away at America's vanishing lands clearly have no interest in preserving America's heritage nor any interest in our children who, in the instance of Rogers Spring Hill Farm, have benefited educationally and experientially from school visits to the farm over many years. That Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline has chosen a location central to the viable operation of the Farm will destroy it. I own farmland in Ohio, where I grew up as part of a farming family, so I know of which I write.

In short, I am adamantly opposed to this seizure of the Rogers' family farmland. I implore you to decide in favor of the land, a major source of America's strength and self-sufficiency, and a resource, once lost, as so much already has been, that can never be regained. Good farmland, which Rogers Spring Hill Farm represents, is a precious commodity that must be protected.

Yours,

*Ellen L. Longworth*

Ellen L. Longworth  
Professor, Merrimack College

March 13, 2006

Secretary of Federal Energy  
Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, NE  
Washington, D>C> 20426

Attention: Docket No. PF05-17

Dear Secretary,

I am writing to protest the taking of any more acreage from the Rogers Spring Hill Dairy farm for the proposed Energy Boosting building.

The farm has already lost acreage to various projects as follows:

12 Acres to Route 495 construction

08 acres for Ward Hill Connector

09 acres for Power Lines


That is 29 acres in all and that is certainly more than enough to take from that family business.


I have raised 5 children in Haverhill, and they all have fond memories of picking strawberries in the fields on that farm. We visit the farm stand all the time, and have attended many school outings to the farm. If you take more land, especially from the middle of the whole area where the strawberries etc. are planted, the family will not be able to continue with their livelihood.

Pleaase take the land that is being offered for free. I understand there are turtles on that land that are protected. Are turtles more important than a whole family's livelihood. The turtles can certainly be relocated around any building that is erected.

Please leave the Rogers farm alone. They have sacrificed enough.

Sincerely,

  
Margaret M. Gosselin  
Bradford Resident



March 17, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

Re: Rogers Spring Hill Farm – Docket # PF05-17

2006 MAR 28 P 2:03

Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION


Dear Magalie Roman Salas,

I am writing in support of the Rogers family of Ward Hill, Massachusetts. Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline have selected 9 acres from the Rogers' farm to put a natural gas pumping station. This location is prime farmland that the Rogers family has farmed for 104 years. If Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline are successful it will put the Rogers Farm out of business. As a child, I enjoyed picking strawberries on the farm and remember field trips over to the farm. Although I have recently relocated to Scottsdale, Az., I still follow the local news and keep in touch with families and friends, and I find this to be most upsetting.

The Rogers family has had land taken from them at least six (6) times in the past for other projects. The government took land for Rte 495; then took more land for the 125 connector; more land for Shelley Rd.; more land for a trunk sewer line; again more land for power lines; and even more land for a pipe line. It's time to look elsewhere for land and leave the Rogers' family alone so that they can continue with the business of farming. There is a 5<sup>th</sup> generation of the Rogers' family growing up and willing to continue working the farm. Please let this generation survive. Please let this farm survive. Please do not destroy this family run business, especially in the times that we live where large companies seem to have their hands in everything. Why should a family be punished because they choose to have a small business?

I thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Please keep the farm, family, and all the good that they do for the community unharmed.

Sincerely,



Paul D. Scharneck  
Concerned Citizen

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:54 3-16-06

To whom it may concern,

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

My name is Susan Deveau and I'm writing this letter in regards to the Rogers Farm in Bradford MA.

To take away there land would not be fear not to mention right. This land has been in the family for many generations. They have made a decent living from it to survive in the world we live into today. How would you like it if someone come up to you and said we're taking your land and there's not a darn thing you or anybody else can do about it? Not right. Right?

It's not right nor fear to the Rogers family or to all the people who have so enjoyed there time's at the farm. Please Do Not Take There Land.

When my daughter Ashley was younger I would take her Strawberry picking, Pumpkin picking with a hayride and Apple picking with wonderful Hot Apple cider. So she will bring a

new generation into the world and  
I hope I will be able to take my  
grandchildren even my great grand-  
children there someday to do the same  
things that I did with her.

Please Do Not Take There Land

Thank You

Sincerely,

Susan Deveau

My daughter and  
future grandchildren



Docket # P+ 05-1+

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

march 19, 2006  
2006 MAR 28 P 2:10

To whom it may concern:

This letter is in regards and  
support of The Richard Rogers  
Spring Hill Farm.

We need to keep small family owned farms alive and in business and also in operation.

I have known the Rogers family and farm since 1975. Their son Stephen and I were in 4-H together showing cattle at local fairs.

Too many small family farms are forced to make a hard decision about their farms and farming.

America loses 330 small family owned farm each week (log on to [www.smallfamilyfarms.org](http://www.smallfamilyfarms.org))

Why can't they re-route the pipeline elsewhere? Why take away Mr. Rogers's living and income? Because the Government said they should? What if it was to go thru a person's land that worked for the government? You can bet it wouldn't happen to them. So instead take part of a family farm, who's been in farming for 104 years. As the saying goes:

ARNE RUUD  
406 SOUNDVIEW AVENUE  
MAMARONECK, NEW YORK 10543 USA

March 17, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street N.E.- Suite 1 a  
Washington DC 20426

Re: URGENT STEPS MUST BE TAKEN NOW  
TO PROTECT LONG ISLAND SOUND

Dear Margalie R.Salas:

As lifelong residents of Westchester County, N.Y. we virtually raised a family on Long Island Sound, enjoying quality time together in these waters, aboard our yacht, the good ship, "Yellowbird" - over the decades visiting nearly every cove and port on both shores.

Our, now grown children practically grew up on ship-board to become weekend- and vacation sailors; in time having their own rigs here, in Puget Sound and in Antigua, West Indies. The latter, most far-away offspring now a licenced charter skipper, still frequenting our Sound on cruises north and south along the East Coast.

Having together celebrated early stages of reclaiming Oyster Bay and clean-up endeavors in other parts of our marvellous Waterway, all agree upon the importance of continuous, dedicated efforts to guard and save Long Island Sound from industrial developments, such as the marine life now threatened by the gôtesque "Broadwater Farge" project and the undesirable, huge tanker traffic it would entail.

That despicable development would be highly detrimental to recreational fishing, bird life and our boating environment, all synonymous with our quality of life here on the shore lines...

Damned be the Shell Oil and the Transcanada Pipeline scheme and profit vehicle! We now count on your powerful Regulatory Commission to protect us from the numerous safety- and health hazards which a developing of the environment-unfriendly LNG-facility will entail.

This mercenary misdeed should not be permitted to mar and degrade our life style and pride in our ambience. Nor to reduce the values of shore-line real estate...

INTERNATIONAL TELEVISION ASSOCIATION



NATIONAL PRESS CLUB, WASHINGTON DC

MEMBER SINCE 1970

BW003752


- 2 -

The writer is honorary New York State chairman of the (national) Business Advisory Council. And a former producer of HolidayWorld of Travel, repeatedly award-winning, radio series on international holiday adventure broadcast, syndicated over 500 radio stations stateside and abroad, including U.S. Armed Forces' Network and Radio Free Europe - daily for nearly two decades...

Later weekly TV host and producer/writer of award-winning travelogues on Outstanding HolidayWorld Destinations; also working as a N.Y. travel industry educator while publisher of Curtis' Holiday Magazine. (More about that in Strathmore's current "Who's Who", etc.).

Thanks in advance for your kind interest and for the early, preventing steps we hope you will able to take pertaining to the above problem with your Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And, hopefully, find time to keep me posted, so I can allert the media. Best regards.

Sincerely,



Arne Ruud

914 698 3059. Fax 914 698 1952

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:57

March 15, 2006

Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Magalie Salas,

My husband and I are writing a letter in the hopes that you make the best choice for our environment. This would involve not allowing for a liquefied natural gas facility in our Long Island Sound. As a science teacher I believe money would be better invested in alternative, renewable resources like solar energy. Natural gas is a resource that may not be depleted before the end of my lifetime, but might be during the lifetime of our children. Why should we jeopardize the ecosystem, while we are looking at a 'short term' gain for this energy industry?

I am of a third generation raised in Mamaroneck, and my husband and I are fortunate to be able to raise our children here. It is our hope that they will appreciate the beauty of the sound. This will only occur if we act to reduce pollution and promote, not just sustain, the levels of aquatic life in the sound.

As I have unfortunately witnessed during my 38 years, runoff pollution has closed many beaches in my community. What used to be public beaches with little space to spread a blanket, are now beginning to see increasing numbers of visitor throughout the summer (nothing like it was during the '70's). Harbor Island Beach is now 'safe' to beach-goers, after years of studies and current procedures involving gunderbooms and citing those that are the sources of pollution. Local government officials are becoming more active in reversing the process of what many years of neglect and ignorance have caused.

Again, we hope that you will not allow for a liquefied natural gas facility in our neighboring Long Island Sound. Our community is not one to turn a blind eye to protecting our environment, education enables us the foresight to prevent damage to our surroundings - of which we are merely caretakers. Hopefully, our educated officials will not allow for industry and economics prevail over the health of our environment which will affect us all.

Respectfully,



Susan Evangelista Moore  
Julian A.S. Moore  
1527 North James Street  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543  
(914) 698-9453

10 March, 2006

Mrs. Magalie Salas  
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
 888 First St., N.E. Room 1a.  
 Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
 OFFICE OF THE  
 SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:52

2006 MAR 28 P 1:52

Dear Ms. Salas,

As a member of the Long Island Community, and as parents of 3 beautiful children, we are deeply concerned about the prospect of an LNG facility in the Long Island Sound. It is our view that our children's future and environment rests squarely on our shoulders, and as such we are prepared to assure that we give them the gift of a secure, healthy future.

LNG will endanger that future and should Not be built. In addition it sets a dangerous precedent in regard to future industrialization of the sound.

Please take our view into account and help to prohibit this dangerous situation.

Thank you,

Dina and Randy Zander  
 275 Washington Ave  
 St. James, NY 11780

March 10, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas,

**LNG FACILITY ENDANGERS THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF LONG ISLAND  
SOUND AND SHOULD NOT BE BUILT.**

Sincerely,

Marissa Scannapieco

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 1:51

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20585

**DINA ELSHAKRY**  
**715 PROSPECT AVENUE**  
**MAMARONECK, NY 10543**

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
MAR 28 P 3 50  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Magalie R. Salas**  
**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**  
**888 First St., N.E., Room 1A**  
**Washington, DC 20426**

March 13, 2006

To Whom It May Concern:

It has come to my attention that a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) facility is to be constructed in the middle of Long Island Sound. This LNG facility endangers the health and safety of Long Island Sound and should not be built. Moreover, since LNG is an explosive gas, the 1 mile radius around the facility that will be declared a public no access zone will no longer allow accessibility for commercial or recreational fishing, lobstering, sailing, boating or other user activities. Lastly, this facility would allow multi-national corporations to assume control of several square miles of a local public resource. As a concerned citizen I ask you to please STOP the industrialization of our Long Island Sound. I thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,



Dina Elshakry

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

13 March 2006

2006 MAR 28 P 3 50

**Malina R. Salas**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

**888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A**

**Washington, DC, 20426**

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION


I am writing to you to express my concern about the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) facility. I believe this facility endanges the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and should not be built.

This facility presnts a riks to the environment and the communities around it.

Appreciate your written reply advising me of your positiion on this matter.

Thanks you.

Ziad Nabulsi



463 Fulton Road

Mamaroneck, Ny, 10543.



March 8, 2006

To: Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., NE Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY  
2006 MAR 28 P 3 51  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION


To The Federal Energy Commission,

This letter is in opposition to the plan of Shell Oil Company, or any other oil company to build a LNG Facility anywhere in Long Island Sound. I grew up in Rye on the water, I spent my youth sailing, motorboating, fishing & swimming in Long Island Sound. I returned to this area with degrees from Cornell and Harvard Universities because my soul loves to see the sun rise over the Sound, and to listen to shrill call of the gulls, and to know the changing of the seasons by the flocks of geese, or egrets or buffleheads that migrate through here, and to enjoy the pleasures of an occasional lobster or bluefish which my brother caught as a teenager in his small business. I came back so that my three sons could have the opportunity to enjoy kiteboarding and fishing and sailing and the thrill of watching the fireworks at Playland explode over the still dark waters at night.


To limit public access to any part of this waterway, to give our rights to our and our children's heritage to corporate greed mongers who have profited to extents previously unknown through the recent oil crisis while gouging the public, who have proved themselves to have little regard for the environment and the communities they serve, would be a travesty. Say what you will, but the Hudson is polluted by the same visions you now want to spread in the sound. The Sound is not your property to do as you will with. It presently serves both commerce and citizens as a natural resource worth preserving, not destroying for the sake of political patronage and ego. This facility should not be built. The Sound, which many of us have worked so hard to clean up, should not again be compromised so that Shell Oil can make a few more billion unnecessary dollars and pay them to all those politicians and lobbyists who have no moral values, but exist to line their own pocketbooks. This is not a renewable resource and does not reduce our reliance on environmentally destructive fossil fuels, a stated goal of New York.

To endanger the health and safety of all us for an unnecessary and greedy cause is wrong and it should never be permitted to mar your legacy. The Coast Guard should not be in service to stand against the citizens of the United States. Please respond in writing and inform me of your stand on this matter.

Sincerely,



Susan Bresler  
1010 Cove Rd.  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543



Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

187 Northern Blvd.  
St. James, NY 11780  
March 10, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

MAR 28 P 3 52

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Madame:

I am writing to you to state that a liquefied natural gas facility does not belong in the Long Island Sound. This facility would endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and should not be built.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Yours truly,



William M Peter

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

March 10, 2006

2006 MAR 28 P 3 30

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a long-time resident of our town, and I am writing to express my concern about recent discussion and the pending decision to industrialize the Long Island Sound. A liquefied natural gas facility does not belong in the Long Island Sound. The LNG facilities endanger the safety of the sound and should not be built.

Please listen to the people's voice.

Sincerely,



Darlene & Ron LoSordo  
278 Washington Avenue.  
St James, NY 11780

Ms. Maggie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
03/28/06  
2006 MAR 28 PM 3:31

Dear Ms. Salas,

As a resident of Long Island, I am writing you to express my concern about the proposed liquefied natural gas facility in the Long Island Sound. I believe this is both environmentally and economically unsound. This facility poses a serious danger to the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and is an unwelcome precedent of industrializing the Sound and should NOT be built.

I would greatly appreciate a written response informing me of your position on this dangerous proposal.

Respectfully yours,

Theresa Yeh  
341 Sixth Ave.  
St. James, NY 11780

Ms. Maggie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E. Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 PM 2:31


Dear Ms. Salas,

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

As a resident of Long Island, I am writing you to express my concern about the proposed liquefied natural gas facility in the Long Island Sound. I believe this is both environmentally and economically unsound. This facility poses a serious danger to the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and is an unwelcome precedent of industrializing the Sound and should NOT be built.

I would greatly appreciate a written response informing me of your position on this dangerous proposal.

Respectfully yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dan" followed by a stylized flourish.

Daniel Yeh  
341 Sixth Ave.  
St. James, NY 11780

3-10-2006

20060329-0128

Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, D.C. 20426

A Liquefied Natural Gas Facility does  
not belong in Long Island Sound.

A Liquefied Natural Gas Facility  
endangers the health and safety of  
Long Island Sound and should not  
be built.

I would ask you to respond in  
writing informing me of your position.

A. V. Smith  
20 Hobson Ave.  
St. James, N.Y. 11780

APR 13 1962

1. *Chlorophyll a* (Chl *a*)

**It is apparent that the Liquefied Natural Gas facility will endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound. I feel that it should not be built.**

Genl. Miller

226 Cedar St.  
St. James, NY 11780



43 Arlington Avenue  
St. James, NY 11780  
March 10, 2006

RECEIVED  
MAR 10 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

MAR 10 10 2:32

Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

The LNG facility to be built in Long Island Sound endangers the health and safety of the Sound and should not be built. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. It is also an unnecessary manner in which to increase our energy supplies.

Sincerely,



George Rom

March 10, 2006


Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas:

We are writing to you to urge you to stop the LNG facility from being created in the Long Island Sound. We feel that it endangers the health and safety of Long Island and should not be built.

The LNG facility would also limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Our waterways are so precious and should be respected.

Sincerely,



Cathleen and Brian Davis  
253 Sixth Ave  
St. James, NY 11780

2006 MAR 10 10 33 AM  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

March 10, 2006

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am a long-time resident of our town, and I am writing to express my concern about recent discussion and the pending decision to industrialize the Long Island Sound. A liquefied natural gas facility does not belong in the Long Island Sound. The LNG facilities endanger the safety of the sound and should not be built.

Please listen to the people's voice.

Sincerely,



Alexandra LoSordo  
278 Washington Avenue.  
St James, NY 11780

RECEIVED  
MARCH 10 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

FILED  
03/10/2006

03/10/2006

RECEIVED 03/10/2006

10:00 AM

Dear Ms. Magalie Salas,

Please reconsider your opinion on the natural gas facility being built in the Long Island Sound. So much has been done to beautify the sound and to help bring back wildlife and increase water quality. This project has the potential to undo years of progress in these areas. It also can endanger the health and safety of Long Island's residents. Thank you for considering the opinions of the Islands residents.

Sincerely

Kenneth C. Rossi

Kenneth C. Rossi  
142 JACKSON AVE SO.  
ST. JAMES, NY  
11780

Glenn A. Rogers  
264 Washington Avenue  
St. James, NY 11780

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 PM 2:34

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

March 10, 2006

Dear Magalie Salas:

I am writing to inform you of how disgusted I am that as a citizen living in one of the highest taxed communities in the United States that you would even think of putting a Liquified Gas Facility in the Long Island Sound. This would endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound, the people living in and around the Sound and should not be built. This facility would limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing an already over industrialized region with many safety and health issues as it is. The money would be better spent on alternate forms of energy and other locations. With Long Island getting only 1/5th of the energy it is outrageous that we should risk our own communities in carrying the facility.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Very truly yours,



Glenn A. Rogers

March 10, 2006

Ms. Magalie R. Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E, Rm 1A  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas,

LNG FACILITY ENDANGERS THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF LONG ISLAND  
SOUND AND SHOULD NOT BE BUILT.

Sincerely,

Marc Scannapieco

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 2:34

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

43 Arlington Avenue  
St. James, NY 11780  
March 10, 2006

FILED  
OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARY

2006 MAR 28 P 2:32


Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St., N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

The LNG facility to be built in Long Island Sound endangers the health and safety of the Sound and should not be built. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. It is also an unnecessary manner in which to increase our energy supplies.

Sincerely,




George Rom

Ms. Magalie Salas,

I am writing because I believe that a liquefied natural gas facility does not belong in Long Island Sound. The LNG facility endangers the health and safety of Long Island Sound and should not be built.

Thank you,

  
Teresa Hampson  
244 Washington Ave.  
St. James, NY 11780


RECEIVED  
MARCH 29 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.  
20585



Ms. Magalie Salas,

I am writing because I believe that a liquefied natural gas facility does not belong in Long Island Sound. The LNG facility endangers the health and safety of Long Island Sound and should not be built.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John Hampson', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

John Hampson  
244 Washington Ave.  
St. James, NY 11780

RECEIVED  
MARCH 29 2006  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

3/10/06

Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St. N.E. Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 10/12/06 BY 0324


Dear Magalie:

We need many things to lessen our dependence on foreign oil, but one of them is not a Liquefied Natural Gas Facility within the already distressed Long Island Sound. It would be hazardous to some extent, unsightly in the extreme, and wholly unnecessary, except to line the pockets of a very few.

The Long Island Sound is special, as it impacts and borders the lives of hundreds of thousands of people both on Long Island and Connecticut. A Liquefied Natural Gas Facility there would endanger swimmers, boaters, marine life, including the ancient and precious horseshoe crab, and be of minimum benefit <sup>to</sup> the local Long Island populace.

Please do the smart thing, and the right thing, and prevent it from being built. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Ken & Lesa Taub  
242 Cedar Street  
Saint James, NY 11780

3/10/06

Ms. Magalie Salas  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First St; N.E., Room 1a  
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Salas,

As a Long Island resident I wanted to let you know that I am against building a liquified natural gas facility in the Long Island Sound. I feel that such a facility would endanger the health and safety of the sound and should not be built. A facility of this sort limits public access and also sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the sound.

Sincerely,  
~~Patti Cantamessa~~  
Patti Cantamessa  
51 Arlington Avenue  
St. James, NY 11780

March 10, 2006

Dear Ms. Magalie Salas,

It is apparent that the Liquefied Natural Gas facility will endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound. I feel that it should not be built.

Sincerely,

*Lauren Mallon*

2006-03-28 10:00 AM  
2006-03-28 10:00 AM  
2006-03-28 10:00 AM

LNG facility endangers the health  
and safety of Long Island & should  
not be built.

Phyllis Riddle

PHYLLIS RIDDLE

155 ST. JAMES Ave SO

ST. JAMES, N.Y.

11780